



TERMS OF REFERENCE
FOR THE PREPARATION OF AN
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

March 2018

- Note 1: The Environment and Resources Authority (ERA) reserves the right to modify these Terms of Reference according to any relevant environmental and planning considerations that may emerge at any relevant stage of the EIA or the permit application process, as well as in the event of any changes or updates to the proposed development. ERA also reserves the right to request additional or amended studies should the findings of the EIA be insufficient to adequately inform the decision-making process or if the EIA identifies matters which should be subject to further investigation.
- Note 2: Unless otherwise agreed with ERA, all requirements set out in these Terms of Reference are to be complied with. If there are any aspects that the consultants deem irrelevant to this study, or if at any stage the consultants discover any environmentally-relevant aspect (not included in these Terms of Reference) that needs to be studied, the consultants shall inform ERA immediately, justifying their reasoning.
- Note 3: Difficulties, including technical difficulties and lack of information, encountered by the consultants in compiling the required information shall be made clear in the EIA. All references to published works and sources of information shall be duly acknowledged in a manner that enables tracing of the information source and verification. No material may be incorporated by reference unless it is reasonably available for inspection by potentially interested persons within the consultation period and thereafter, and for record-keeping and unhindered perusal by ERA. Any material which is based on unavailable proprietary data shall not be incorporated by reference.
- Note 4: Any requirement for confidentiality of any section or detail of the EIA must be strongly justified and a formal request in this regard must be submitted to ERA. Should ERA grant confidentiality, alternative material that is still adequate for proper assessment, public consultation and decision-making must be provided.
- Note 5: Agreement on method statements, and ancillary liaison with ERA, is not mandatory but is recommended. Nevertheless, ERA reserves the right to disagree with the methodology proposed, including proposed areas of influence, and with the EIA submissions in general, and to factor such disagreement in its critique of the EIA
- Note 6: During review of the EIA, ERA will submit comments for the consultants' consideration, as relevant. Following the consultants' response to ERA satisfaction, a revised second draft of the EIA, addressing the comments, will normally be required. This may take the form of a complete resubmission or of an Addendum detailing the revisions to the previous submissions, as deemed most expedient by ERA, taking into account continuity and traceability of the information, and overall user-friendliness vis-à-vis subsequent review, presentation, public consultation, record-keeping and decision-making. A complete resubmission will generally be required if changes are numerous or complex, whereas an Addendum may be preferred if changes are more limited.
- Note 7: The consultants are not exonerated from obtaining any formal authorisation from ERA, and from other relevant entities, vis-à-vis any activity ancillary to the EIA (e.g. collection, sampling, capture, or waiver of access restrictions) wherever such authorisation is legally required.
- Note 8: These Terms of Reference, and all ancillary correspondence, are issued without prejudice to the ERA position on the project and to ERA's final decision. Accordingly, their issuing (even when customised to address specific project details) should not be construed as evidence in favour or against the project or any component thereof, unless the contrary is clearly stated.
- Note 9: Wherever relevant, references to land also include the sea, and ancillary terms such as land-take, ground cover, landscape, vehicles, access roads, etc. should be interpreted accordingly.
- Note 10: Wherever any baseline studies required by these Terms of Reference is covered by already-existing data, such data should be used in preference to unnecessary duplication of baseline studies, unless the consultants or ERA or both are of the opinion that the existing data is unavailable, incorrect, outdated, unreliable, insufficient, or otherwise inadequate for the purpose of the EIA.

An Environmental Impact Assessment Report is to be prepared for PA 02175/18: *Extension to an existing tuna farm operation off the North East coast of Malta* as required by Schedule I, Category I, Section 8.2.1.1 of the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations, 2017 (S.L. 549.46). The required components of the EIA are:

- i. A **Coordinated Assessment Report**, in conformity with the following Sections of these Terms of Reference. This report should assess the project in its totality;
[Note: The coordinated assessment should seek to analyse and integrate the main considerations emerging from the technical reports, rather than just reproducing excerpts from the reports.]
- ii. A separate **Appendix (or Appendices)** containing all technical studies and original survey reports as prepared by the individual specialist consultants for specific topics;
[Note: Experts contributing to the EIA should be specifically asked to consider impact interactions and cross-cutting issues, and to communicate information between each other accordingly].
- iii. A separate **Non-Technical Summary** of the EIA, in both the Maltese and English languages. This should have enough details for the public to understand the project and the related environmental considerations, and should be written in reader-friendly language (e.g. avoiding unnecessary technical jargon);
- iv. A **declaration of conformity** with regards to the identification of consultants and contributors, and conflict of interest, in accordance with sub-regulations 17(3) of the EIA Regulations (refer to Appendix 1 to these Terms of Reference); and
- v. An addendum detailing the **feedback received from stakeholders, from the public, and from ERA** during the relevant consultation stages of the EIA, and how they were addressed.

Wherever relevant and appropriate, all components of the EIA should include tables and figures (e.g. maps, plans, photographs, photomontages, charts, graphs, diagrams, cross-sections) and quantifications.

The complete EIA report (including all the above components) should be submitted as a printable digital copy (in .pdf format, with copying fully enabled throughout) and as a printed copy. Likewise, in case further revision are made to the EIA Report both a printable digital copy (in .pdf format, with copying enabled throughout) and a printed copy of the concluded EIA Report, or an Addendum, is to be submitted to ERA.

Wherever any other study not forming part of the EIA (e.g. Appropriate Assessment) is also envisaged, this is to be submitted separately from the EIA. Cross-referencing between the EIA and any such study should be clear and reasonably limited, such that both of the following considerations are duly satisfied:

1. Alerting the reader to the fact that the aspect in question is also being addressed in another parallel study; and
2. Enabling the reader to easily follow both the EIA and the other studies as stand-alone documents.

More detailed specifications are identified in the following pages.

1.0 DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT AND ITS CONTEXT

The description of the proposal is to include the aspects outlined below, and should take into account the entire proposal and any ancillary facilities and infrastructure connected with, or arising due to, the project.

1.1 Justification for the Proposal

1.1.1 Objectives

The purpose and objectives of the proposal and whether these are related to current legal obligations, policies or plans.

1.1.2 Demand

The current and expected requirement or demand for the proposed uses, also explaining how the proposal will address the requirement/demand.

1.2 Description of the Physical Characteristics of the Whole Project and the Land Use Requirements during the Construction, Operational and Decommissioning Phases

The following aspects should be addressed for all phases of the project, clearly distinguishing between aspects relating to construction phase, operational phase, decommissioning phase, or more than one phase. References to construction phase and decommissioning phase also include ancillary site preparation, clearing, dismantling, and site reinstatement works, as relevant.

1.2.1 General characteristics

Description of the proposed facilities together with a site plan drawn to scale including:

- Proposed location, including location of cage sites (including geographical co-ordinates), within the site;
- Total area and volume of the site and cages
- Maximum number, size, type and configuration of cages located within the site and the distances between cages;
- A cross section elevation of the farm showing super structure and sub surface components of the farm relative to mean sea level including outer perimeter buoys, moorings/ anchors;
- Height above sea surface and proposed elevations of cages/ installations;
- General design including colour scheme materials and texture of structures/ installations;
- Boundary demarcation arrangements;
- Method of construction/deployment of installations;
- Specifications for mooring technologies used during operations. These should be discussed and recommendations on the favoured option should be made;
- Any site which will be used on a temporary basis during the operation phase, e.g. during the transfer of wild caught tuna to the permanent cage site; and
- Storage, packing and servicing facilities.

The description is to be consistent with the details submitted in the relevant permit applications, throughout both the EIA process and the development permission application process.

1.2.2 Construction, Operational and production processes

The relevant operational and production processes and their main characteristics, including:

- The nature and quantity of materials used or generated;
- The source, type, quantity, composition and concentration of residues and emissions including water, noise (including impulsive underwater noise), vibration, light, etc.

resulting from the proposed project; the parameters to be reported should be in line with relevant EU policy;

- The expected annual and total emissions, including Greenhouse Gases (GHG), and the contribution to total national GHG emission on an annual basis; and
- A description of the tuna penning process including:
 - An overview of the process of penning of tuna from the wild;
 - Measures to limit or reduce accidental trapping or killing of non-target species;
 - Transport of wild stock to on-growing location;
 - Management and use of any chemicals / medicinal utilised (antifouling and cleaning agents, feed supplements, chemicals, and antibiotics) and their impact on the surrounding environment;
 - Overview of feed management techniques and feeding mechanisms on the environment according to the fish feed properties;
 - Details describing the type and quantity of feed to be used as well as feeding frequency and feed conversion ratio should also be included;
 - Overview of methods for harvesting, processing, packing and export;
 - Storage of feed bait, and packing materials;
 - Types of wastes generated during the various phases of the operation and an estimate of the quantities of each waste produced;
 - Methodology and frequency of net cleaning;
 - Details regarding any fallow period of the site; and
 - Any activities on land, if relevant.

1.2.3 Project management

An indicative framework outlining the key parameters and site management arrangements during construction, operation and decommissioning phases, including:

- Works methodology;
- Expected duration of all phases, as well as season, frequency and duration of interventions; and
- Types and quantities of raw materials and primary resources to be consumed, including water, energy and other resources, and measures to reduce such consumption.

1.2.4 Access, transportation and related infrastructure

A forecast of the type, quantity and size of vessels envisaged during each phase and their respective frequency of use, as well as an identification of the routes that vessels will use to/from and within the site. The required arrangements should also be compared with the relevant existing situation. Interventions that would need to be carried out to accommodate the required vessels, and sites/structures/features likely to be affected as a result, should be identified accordingly.

1.2.5 Waste management

1. A sufficiently detailed indication of the waste management implications likely to arise from the project, including wastes generated by ancillary facilities and wastes which may arise from accidental spillages and leakages and from repair works. Wastes should be subdivided according to the relevant project phases.
2. Management of solid and liquid wastes (including an estimate of domestic wastes, fish offal, mortalities, feed and uneaten feed, fish wastes, and other wastes generated by the service ship) and methods for their disposal and/or re-use.
3. The type, impact and mitigation from the wastes generated on site should be assessed.
4. The following information is to be provided for each waste stream, as relevant to each phase:
 - Identification of processes or activities that would result in waste generation;
 - European Waste Catalogue Codes for each waste stream, as per relevant legislation;
 - The projected quantities and rate of generation for each type of waste;
 - Information on waste handling and storage, on site as well as off site; and
 - The method of transportation and frequency.

This information should be presented in table format as follows, and should also include cross-references to the relevant regulations, particularly The Waste Regulations, 2011 (S.L.549.63):

Phase	Type of waste	EWC Code	H-Code	Activity (e.g. sanding, scraping, power washing etc.)	Estimated quantities	Final permitted disposal location

- The envisaged waste management arrangements using the Best Practicable Environmental Options (BPEO) available, and the envisaged efforts to minimise waste generation and to divert waste to reuse or recycling rather than disposal.

1.2.6 Longer-term developments

Additional future developments, sea uses and other commitments that are ancillary or consequent to the project or are likely to arise in relation to the same project or its expansion, as well as longer-term needs of the proposal, including: ancillary infrastructure not accounted for in the previous sections; any consequent interventions/arrangements required to accommodate the development; any foreseeable extensions or updates to the proposal; any displacement of existing uses; and decommissioning.

2.0 ASSESSMENT OF ALTERNATIVES

An outline of the main alternatives studied and an indication of the main reasons for this choice, taking into account the relevant environmental effects and their prevention (or optimisation) at source. The following alternatives need to be duly considered, as relevant to the development itself (or to one or more phases thereof) and its requirements and constraints:

2.1 Alternative sites

2.2 Alternative technologies (including mooring, collection of uneaten fish and oily slick/scum)

2.3 Alternative layouts (including configuration and layout of cages, different mooring configurations etc.)

2.4 Downscaling of the project, or elimination of project components

2.5 Zero option (do-nothing scenario) - i.e. an assessment of the way the site would develop in the absence of the proposed project.

Note: The zero option should be considered in sufficient detail as a plausible scenario in the EIA, wherever relevant, and not discarded upfront without proper discussion of its implications.

2.6 Hybrids/combinations of the above

The findings of the assessment of alternatives should be summarised in a table format for ease of comparison.

3.0 A DESCRIPTION OF THE SITE AND ITS SURROUNDINGS (I.E. ENVIRONMENTAL BASELINE)

The existing environmental features, characteristics and conditions, in and around the proposed development site as well as in all locations likely to be affected by the development or by ancillary interventions and operations, are to be identified and described in sufficient detail, with particular attention to the aspects elaborated further in the next sections.

The consultants should also identify (and justify) wherever relevant:

1. The geographic area (e.g. viewshed or other area of influence) that needs to be covered by each study;
2. The relevant sensitive receptors vis-à-vis the environmental parameter under consideration (e.g. natural ecosystems, specific populations of particular species, or individual physical features);
3. The location of the reference points or stations (e.g. viewpoints, monitoring stations, or sampling points (including depth of multiple sampling points at a single sampling point in the case of water media and sediment, where applicable) to be used in the study; and
4. Other methodological parameters of relevance, also noting that the assessment will normally require both desk-top studies and on-site investigations (including visual observations and sampling, as relevant).

Note: It is recommended that these details are discussed in advance with the Environment and Resources Authority prior to commencement of the relevant parts of the studies, in order to pre-empt (as much as possible) later-stage issues.

Wherever relevant to the environmental aspects under discussion, reference to legislation, policies, plans (including programmes and strategies) standards and targets, should also be made, such that the compatibility (or otherwise) of the proposal therewith is also factored into the assessment required by **Section 4** below. The discussion should cover the following aspects, in the appropriate level of detail:

- Supra-national (e.g. European Union; United Nations; or other international or regional) legislation, directives, policies, conventions, protocols, treaties, charters, plans and obligations;
- National legislation, policies and plans (e.g. Structure Plan; National Environment Policy); and
- Sub-national legislation, policies and plans (e.g. local plans, site-specific regulations, action plans, management plans, and protective designations such as scheduling or Natura 2000).

Note: In addition to already in-force legislation, policies and plans, the discussion should also cover any foreseeable future updates (or new legislation, policies and plans) likely to be fulfilled, affected or compromised by the proposed project. Furthermore, it should be noted that some cross-cutting legal/policy instruments (e.g. Water Framework Directive and Marine Strategy Framework Directive) may need to be factored into more than one aspect of the discussion.

3.1 Sea cover and sea uses

A description of the present uses of the proposed site together with a description of other uses located within the area of influence from the site. Details including nature, magnitude, proximity to site, etc. should be included.

The assessment shall first consider the proposed development in isolation and assess the impacts arising from the proposed development. These include impacts of the proposal on the adjacent sea uses including any existing sensitive receptors/uses with particular reference to (i) bunkering sites and related activities; (ii) navigational routes (international and local); (iii) fisheries; (iii) shipping and yachting; (iv) diving and tourism; and (v) candidate Marine Conservation Areas, during construction and operation.

3.2 Marine environment

3.2.1 Hydrodynamical modelling and impacts on water quality

A detailed wave and hydrodynamic model that includes mathematical modelling adopted for the determination of:

1. The best design for all the components of the proposed project shall be undertaken;
2. The effects of the proposal on water circulation;
3. Dispersion models, including:
 - Dispersal of oil slicks/ fish oils and nutrients
 - Settlement of uneaten feed
 - Impact on the coast
 - Impact on the underlying sediment
4. Wave statistical characteristics (including probability tables for extreme conditions).

and shall include physico-chemical parameters, such as prevailing and local currents and their velocity; wave exposure; water depth/bathymetry and sea-bottom type.

3.2.2 Ecology (including Marine Ecology and Avifauna)

1. A full benthic survey of the existing benthic environment on and around the area that will potentially be affected shall be undertaken prior to starting operations. The study shall include:
 - a. Offshore bathymetric maps;
 - b. Aerial imagery of the area;
 - c. Details and maps of any services / utilities;
 - d. Description of the sea-bed morphology and of the sediment characteristics of the site;
2. A survey of the area defined by the cage moorings and the area to be potentially affected by the fish farm and dispersal of nutrients, consisting of a detailed survey with adequate maps, plans, diagrams, photographs of the marine biotopes/habitats types of the area;
3. An investigation of the ecology of the site and its surroundings (including, as relevant: flora, fauna, avifauna, fish and other aquatic organisms (including marine mammals and turtles), benthic, burrowing and pelagic organisms, their habitats and ecosystems), duly covering the relevant seasons to ensure adequate coverage of all relevant species and ecosystem components;
4. A noise and vibration study providing detailed information on any impacts on sensitive receptors (fauna and avifauna, natural ecosystems) due to increase in pressure in the area, and the cumulation with other existing sources including maritime vessel traffic and with other predicted sources such as new developments;
5. A reporting of the conservation status and ecological condition of the area and the state of health of its habitats, species and ecological features;
6. Identification of all relevant species and assemblages (e.g. protected species or habitats, key species relevant to habitat characterisation, and monitoring indicators), and assess their abundance and distribution patterns as well as the species' ecological niches. The findings should be supported by adequate maps and photographs. Classification of habitat types and species should be conducted in accordance with recognised classification systems (e.g. EUNIS and Palaeartic), to ERA satisfaction;
7. A reporting of all protected, endangered, rare, unique, endemic, high-quality, keystone, invasive/deleterious, or otherwise important species, habitats, ecological assemblages, and ecological conditions found in the area under study;
8. A prediction of the potential impacts of the proposed project on the ecology of the site and its surroundings, including loss, damage or alteration of habitats and species populations (including potential increases in ambient noise levels in the marine environment) including alteration in the habitats and species' condition/state of health as measured through indicators used/specified for assessment of status in relevant EU policy;
8. The nature of the changes (whether temporary or permanent) and effects of such changes on the ecological features; and
9. Other relevant environmental features.

Note 1: *Separate Terms of Reference are being issued for the Appropriate Assessment (AA) in line with the Flora, Fauna and Natural Habitats Regulations, 2006 (S.L. 549.44).*

3.3 Marine Archaeology and related Material Assets

Refer to Appendix 2.

3.4 Infrastructure and Utilities

The assessment should also identify any existing or projected infrastructural services located within the area of influence of the development (even if not related to the demands of the development) that might be affected by the development or which may need to be displaced or diverted as a consequence of the development or its ancillary operations and interventions.

3.5 Other relevant environmental aspects and features

Other relevant environmental features or considerations not identified in the preceding sections should also be identified and described, as relevant.

4.0 ASSESSMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND ENVIRONMENTAL RISKS

The proposed area is considered to be totally exposed, therefore the level of risk needs to be assessed and the practical feasibility of the project discussed.

All likely significant effects and risks posed by the proposed project on the environment during all relevant phases (including construction, operation and decommissioning) should be assessed in detail, taking into account the information emerging from Sections 1, 2 and 3 above. Apart from considering the project on its own merits (*i.e.* if taken in isolation), the assessment should also take into account the wider surrounding context and should consider the limitations and effects that the surrounding environmental constraints, features and dynamics may exert on the proposed development, thereby identifying any incompatibilities, conflicts, interferences or other relevant implications that may arise if the project is implemented.

In this regard, the assessment should address the following aspects, as applicable for any category of effects or for the overall evaluation of environmental impact, addressing the worst-case scenario wherever relevant:

1. An exhaustive identification and description of the envisaged impacts;
2. The magnitude, severity and significance of the impacts;
3. The geographical extent/range and physical distribution of the impacts, in relation to: site coverage; the features located in the site surroundings; whether the impacts are short-, medium- or long-range; and any transboundary impacts (*i.e.* impacts affecting other countries);
4. The timing and duration of the impacts (whether the impact is temporary or permanent; short-, medium- or long-term; and reasonable quantification of timeframes);
5. Whether the impacts are reversible or irreversible (including the degree of reversibility in practice and a clear identification of any conditions, assumptions and pre-requisites for reversibility);
6. A comprehensive coverage of direct, indirect, secondary and cumulative impacts, including:
 - interactions (e.g. summative, synergistic, antagonistic, and vicious-cycle effects) between impacts;
 - interactions or interference with natural or anthropogenic processes and dynamics;
 - cumulation of the project and its effects with other past, present or reasonably foreseeable developments, activities and land uses and with other relevant baseline situations; and
 - wider impacts and environmental implications arising from consequent demands, implications and commitments associated with the project (including: displacement of existing uses; new or increased pressures on the environment in the surroundings of the project, including pressures which may be exacerbated by the proposal but of which effects may go beyond the area of influence; and impacts of any additional interventions likely to be triggered or necessitated by situations created, induced or exacerbated by the project);
7. Whether the impacts are adverse, neutral or beneficial;
8. The sensitivity and resilience of resources, environmental features and receptors vis-à-vis the impacts;
9. Implications and conflicts vis-à-vis environmentally-relevant plans, policies and regulations;
10. The probability of the impacts occurring; and
11. The techniques, methods, calculations and assumptions used in the analyses and predictions, and the confidence level/limits and uncertainties vis-à-vis impact prediction.

The impacts that need to be addressed are detailed further in the sub-sections below and should be presented in summary chart format.

4.1 Effects on the environmental aspects identified in Section 3

The assessment should thoroughly identify and evaluate the impacts and implications of the project on all the relevant environmental aspects identified in Section 3 above, also taking into account the various considerations outlined in the respective sections.

4.2 Environmental risk

The assessment should also address, in sufficient detail, any relevant environmental risk (including major-accident scenarios such as contamination, emissions, major spillages, etc.) likely to result in environmental damage or deterioration. The range of accident scenarios considered should exhaustively cover, as relevant:

1. One-time risks (e.g. during construction or decommissioning works);
2. Recurrent risks during project operation (including risk of disease transmission); and
3. Risks associated with extreme events (e.g. oil spills, fish mortality rates or natural disasters on the project).

The assessment should include, as relevant: a quantification of the risk magnitude and probability; and risk analysis vis-à-vis any hazardous materials stored, handled, or generated on site or transported to/from the site.

4.3 Effects on Human Populations resulting from impacts on the environment

This assessment should also identify any impacts of the development on the surrounding and visiting population that may result from impacts on the environment.

4.3.1 Effect on public health

The EIA shall include a detailed description of the measures envisaged to prevent, minimise and where possible offset any significant adverse health effects, including cumulative impacts of the development on the general public, their social activities and on the area affected by the proposed development. This should include details of the monitoring programmes that may be proposed. The EIA shall also identify, describe and discuss in detail the possible health effects of any residual impacts that cannot be mitigated. This shall be presented as a separate Section/Chapter in the EIA.

In the case of health-related effects, reference should be made to published epidemiological and other studies, as relevant, and the views of the Environmental Health Directorate should be sought.

4.4 Other Environmental Effects

Any other environmental effects deemed relevant to the project but not fitting within any of the above sections should also be identified and assessed.

5.0 REQUIRED MEASURES, IDENTIFICATION OF RESIDUAL IMPACTS, AND MONITORING PROGRAMME

5.1 Mitigation Measures

A clear identification and explanation of the measures envisaged to prevent, eliminate, reduce or offset (as relevant) any significant adverse effects of the project during all relevant phases including construction, operation and decommissioning [see **Section 1.2.3** above]. Such measures could include technological features; operational management techniques; enhanced site-planning and management; aesthetic measures; conservation measures; reduction of magnitude of project; and health and safety measures. Particular attention should be given to mitigation of impacts on the marine resources and of conflicts between the different uses on site.

Mitigation measures for accident/risk scenarios should be packaged as a holistic plan that includes the integration of failsafe systems into the project design as well as well-defined contingency measures.

The recommended measures should be feasible, realistically implementable to the required standards and in a timely manner, effective and reliable, and reasonably exhaustive. They should not be dependent on factors that are beyond the developer's and ERA's control or which would be difficult to monitor, implement or enforce. The actual scope for, and feasibility of, effective prevention or mitigation should also be clearly indicated, also identifying all potentially important pre-requisites, conditionalities and side-effects.

Management plans for the site including measures on how to control biomass, spreading of diseases, etc. These should include a framework for the:

- Environmental Management Plan (including provisions to be taken in case of deterioration of water quality and disruption to the benthos).
- Waste Management Plan
- Risk assessment and contingency planning for disasters and other contingencies (high mortality, oil spills from bunkering area.)
- Risk assessment for accidents by oncoming shipping traffic.

5.2 Residual Impacts

Any residual impacts [*i.e.* impacts that cannot be effectively mitigated, or can only be partly mitigated, or which are expected to remain or recur again following exhaustive implementation of mitigation measures] should also be clearly identified.

5.3 Additional Measures

Compensatory measures (*i.e.* measures intended to offset, in whole or in part, the residual impacts) should also be identified, as reasonably relevant. Such measures should be not considered as an acceptable substitute to impact avoidance or mitigation.

If the assessment also identifies beneficial impacts on the environment, measures to maximise the environmental benefit should also be identified.

In both instances, the same practical considerations as indicated vis-à-vis mitigation measures should also apply.

5.4 Decommissioning Plan

A decommissioning plan (DP) should also be proposed to address the following circumstances, as relevant:

1. Removal of any temporary or defined-lifetime development (or of any structures, infrastructure or land use required temporarily in connection with it) upon the expiry of their permitted duration; and
2. Removal of the development (or of any secondary developments, infrastructure or land use ancillary to it) in the event of redundancy, cessation of operations, serious default from critical mitigation measures, or other overriding situations that may emerge in future.

The DP should also include, as relevant, a phasing-out plan, proposals for site remediation or decontamination, and methodological guidance on site reinstatement or appropriate after-use.

5.5 Monitoring Programme

A realistic and enforceable programme for effective monitoring of those works envisaged to have an adverse or uncertain impact. The monitoring programme should include:

1. Details regarding type and frequency of monitoring and reporting, including spot checks;
2. The parameters that will be monitored, their units of measurement, the monitoring indicators to be used; and standard analytical methods in line with relevant EU policy;

3. An effective indication of the required action to address any exceedances, risks, mitigation failures or non-compliances for each monitoring parameter;
4. An evaluation of forecasts, predictions and measures identified in the EIA; and
5. An indication of the nature and extent of any additional investigations (including EIAs or ad hoc detailed investigations, if relevant) that may be required in the event of any contingencies, unanticipated impacts, or impacts of larger magnitude or extent than predicted.

The programme should address all relevant stages, as follows:

- (a) Where relevant, monitoring of preliminary on-site investigations that may entail significant disturbance or damage to site features (e.g. marine environment in terms of the benthos; or any works that require prior site clearance or any significant destructive sampling);
[Note: Official written consent from the competent authorities (e.g. Superintendence of Cultural Heritage) may also be required for such interventions.]
- (b) Monitoring of the construction phase, including the situation before initiation of works (including site clearance), during appropriate stages of progress, and after completion of works;
- (c) Monitoring of the operational phase, except where otherwise directed by ERA (e.g. where monitoring would be more appropriately integrated into an operating permit) (including monitoring of the marine environment in terms of the benthos, water quality and other sensitive receptors); and
- (d) Where relevant, monitoring of the decommissioning phase, including the situation before initiation of works, during appropriate stages of progress, and after completion of works.

5.6 Identification of required authorisations

The assessment should also identify all environmentally-relevant permits, licences, clearances and authorisations (other than the development permit to which this EIA is ancillary) which must be obtained by the applicant in order to effectively implement the project if development permission is granted. Any uncertainty, as to whether any of these pre-requisites is applicable to the project, should be clearly stated.

Note on Sections 5.1 to 5.6 above:

The expected effects, the proposed measures, the residual impacts, the proposed monitoring etc. should also be summarised in a user-friendly itemised table that enables the reader to easily relate the various aspects to each other. An indicative specimen table is attached in **Appendix 3**.

Identification of consultants and contributors

This declaration is to be submitted with each environmental survey report forming part of the EIA.

Attn: Director of Environment and Resources (ERA).

I _____, who carried out the study (or part thereof) on _____ for the EIA for the proposed _____, hereby declare that I take responsibility for any statement and conclusion contained therein.

Date

Signature

FINAL

Conflict of interest

Signed declaration in accordance with sub-regulation 17(3):

This declaration is to be submitted with each environmental survey report forming part of the EIA.

Attn: Director of Environment and Resources (ERA).

I, _____, hereby declare that I have no personal or financial interest in the proposed development. Moreover, I declare that I am not in any way associated with any individual, company, association or grouping that has any direct or indirect, personal, professional or financial interest in the proposed development.

Date

Signature

FINAL

1.0 Preamble

The proposed project would involve development over an extensive area and may lead to intensification of activity over a larger area. Potential impacts may occur within the footprint of the project, in the immediate environs, and along access routes to the site. Potential impacts may include direct and immediate material impacts, as well as subsequent impacts that might arise from the modification of the existing situation.

2.0 Scope and Definitions of the EIA

For the purposes of this document, cultural heritage is defined by Article 2 of the Cultural Heritage Act (2002). This includes movable or immovable objects of artistic, architectural, historical, archaeological, ethnographic, palaeontological and geological importance.

2.1 The study area shall include the total footprint of the proposed development.

2.2 In the context of this particular application, cultural heritage considerations may include features of archaeological value and potential;

The above cultural heritage definitions and considerations are not to be considered as exhaustive. The EIA must consider all other forms of cultural heritage, both known and unknown.

2.3 The Environmental Impact assessment will:

- Describe the Cultural Heritage assets within the study area;
- Assess the physical, spatial and visual impacts of the proposed development on the cultural heritage assets; and
- Propose corrective measures for the protection of the cultural resources.

3.0 Methodology

In quantifying the cultural heritage assets within the study area, and assessing the impacts of the proposed development, the EIA will undertake:

- Description and assessment of the property;
- Desktop and archival research limited to the study area;
- Fieldwork and research, topographic survey and remote sensing as may be necessary within the site. All fieldwork has to be authorised by the Superintendence of Cultural Heritage as defined below under point 4;
- Consultations with any relevant bodies, including the Superintendence of Cultural Heritage, Heritage Malta, the University of Malta, NGOs and Local Councils;
- Compilation of an inventory of the cultural heritage assets identified within the study area. The features of cultural heritage are to be described and plotted with grid references, on Data Capture Sheets, the design of which should be approved in advance by the Superintendence of Cultural Heritage. The Data Capture Sheets will be presented as an appendix to the EIA. The analysis of the features will be included in the main report; and
- A cultural heritage Risk Assessment Map examining the various impacts of the proposed project is to be included in the EIA.

4.0 Authorisation by the Superintendence of Cultural Heritage

As per Cultural Heritage Act 2002, any form of investigation or prospection required for the identification of cultural heritage (including topographic survey and remote sensing) may only be undertaken by the Superintendence of Cultural Heritage or with its written approval.

PTOTECTIVE INVENTORY OF THE MALTESE CULTURAL HERITAGE HERITAGE DATA CAPTURE SHEET			Ref. No.			
Location	Category	Type	Site Location (Address)			
Eastings	Northings	Feature	Period - Year			
S.S. No. 1	S.S. No. 2	Description				
S.S. No. 3	S.S. No. 4					
Date						
Negative No.	Film No.					
Present Utilization						
Existing Legal Protection		GN. Number	GN. Date			
Comments						
Buffer Zone	A	B	C	D	E	Others
Eastings						
Northings						
Site Map						Scale 1 : 2500

Archaeological Characteristics – Sketch/Scaled drawings:	
Condition:	Degree of Protection:
State of Security:	Proposed Utilization:
Basic Bibliography:	
Compiled by:	Revised by:
Checked by:	Checked by:
Date:	Date:

APPENDIX 3: SPECIMEN IMPACT TABLE

Impact type and source			Impact receptor		Effect & scale							Probability of impact occurring (Inevitable, Likely, Unlikely, Remote, Uncertain)	Overall impact significance	Proposed mitigation measures	Residual impact significance	Other requirements (monitoring, authorisations, etc)
Impact type	Specific intervention leading to impact	Project phase (construction/ operation/ decommissioning)	Receptor type	Sensitivity & resilience toward impact	Direct/ Indirect/ Cumulative	Beneficial/ Adverse	Severity	Physical / geographic extent of impact	Short- /medium-/ long-term	Temporary (indicate duration)/ Permanent	Reversible (indicate ease of reversibility) / Irreversible					

[Insert definition of relevant criteria used to describe the impacts]